

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Guy
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1285779
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Guy
Given Name	Stephen
Person ID	1285779
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I don't care about this "legally compliant" question. I just want the option to respond to the Places for Everyone plan in general and specifically what it means for the Radcliffe and Bury area.</p> <p>The plan is terrible and should be disregarded immediately. The loss of green belt land around Elton Reservoir and the canal area between Radcliffe and Bury is shocking. Once this land has gone, it will be gone forever!</p> <p>The building of hundreds of new homes will undoubtedly lead to increased flooding. And despite the building of a "spine" road between two already busy main roads - the traffic congestion and pollution in Radcliffe will be unbearable, it's already bad enough!</p> <p>Maybe people just need to accept that there isn't a "place for everyone" and green spaces, flood avoidance, reducing pollution and saving the planet are much more important than housing!</p>